## **Experimental report**

Proposal:	3-14-3	92	<b>Council:</b> 10/2018				
Title:	Diamond nanoparticles in nanoparticle-polymer composite neutron diffraction gratings						
Research area: Methods and instrumentation							
This proposal is a new proposal							
Main proposer	:	Juergen KLEPP					
Experimental (	team:	Juergen KLEPP					
		Martin FALLY					
		Christian PRUNER					
Local contacts:	:	Tobias JENKE					
Samples: Nanodiamonds in nanoparticle-polymer composite							
Instrument		Requested days	Allocated days	From	То		
PF2 VCN			15	15	09/01/2020	27/01/2020	
Abstract:							

We use materials that are sensitive to light combined with holographic techniques to develop diffraction gratings for long-wavelength neutron optics. The treated materials exhibit a periodic neutron refrac-tive-index pattern, arising from a light-induced redistribution of the con-stituents. Our goal is to find the most versatile and efficient material to produce neutron-optical elements, such as wavelength-standards and gratings for neutron phase-imaging or very-cold neutron interferometry. Various tests of nanoparticle-polymer composite gratings based on SiO2 nanoparticles have demonstrated that two- and three-port beam-splitters as well as free-standing film mirrors (diffraction efficiency ~90 %) for cold neutrons and VCN are feasible. The experiment described in this proposal aims at testing improved NPC gratings containing diamond nanoparticles.

## Diamond nanoparticles in nanoparticle-polymer composite neutron diffraction gratings

```
J. Klepp<sup>1</sup>, M. Fally<sup>1</sup>, C. Pruner<sup>3</sup>,
```

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Physics, University of Vienna, A-1090 Vienna, Austria

<sup>3</sup>Department of Materials Science and Physics, University of Salzburg, A-5020 Salzburg, Austria

We measured diffraction on several holographic diamond nanoparticle gratings. Our aim is to produce gratings that beat our own old record diffraction efficiency (DE) achived with SiO<sub>2</sub> gratings, which was almost 90 %. So far, we could not beat this record but have, to our surprise, found that the nanodiamond gratings are much less durable than the SiO<sub>2</sub> gratings.

"Mirror", sample apert. new pos., lambda~5.4 nm, Ipeak~1.3/s, div~2 mrad



**Figure** 1: New rocking curve of the 9-year old free-standingmirror sample (see [2] for the original data).

We use materials that are sensitive to light in addition to holographic techniques to produce relatively large area transmission gratings for neutron-optics [1]. The treated materials exhibit a periodic neutron refractive-index pattern, arising from a light-induced redistribution of the constituents, which results in a modulation of the scattering length density.

The width of the rocking curve (DE vs. angle of incidence) of diffraction gratings (the distance in angle of incidence between the minima adjacent to the central maximum) is approximately given by 2 times the grating period (typically  $0.5\,\mu\text{m}$ ) divided by the grating thickness (typically below  $100 \,\mu$ m). The latter are decisive parameters also for reaching high DE. However, increasing DE by increasing the grating thickness leads to high DE at the cost of very narrow rocking curves, which makes gratings difficult to adjust as parts of optical systems (interferometers, for instance) and inefficient at badly collimated low-intensity beamlines. Therefore, novel materials should preferably reach high DE at low thickness via increasing their constituents' scattering length contrast. For the above reasons, we are trying to implement diamond nanoparticle gratings, which supposedly have the best neutron optical properties, instead of the already very wellworking SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticle gratings. As one can see in Fig. 1, the SiO<sub>2</sub> sample (the results about which were published in 2012 [2]) is still exhibiting excellent neutron diffraction properties today.

After promising test measurements of our first nanodiamond



Figure 2: Rocking curve of holographic nanodiamond grating.

gratings in 2018, in our beamtime in January 2020 we found (1) that, as expected, we still need to work on the contrast between the material matrix (polymer and rests of photoinitiator chemicals) and the nanodiamonds as the DE found is good (see Fig. 2), but still far from the one of the old SiO<sub>2</sub> sample, as shown in Fig. 1 and, (2), that even the nanodiamond grating tested in 2018 had decayed and showed much less DE than one and a half years ago. The latter is somewhat surprising and relatively fast decay was confirmed also for our new nanodiamond samples, and also for light. We suspect unexpected interplay of photoinitiator and the monomers in use. While the finding is an important result, it definitely needs to be tackled, as diffraction properties changing on time scales of months prevent gratings to be used as diffractive optical elements.

- Y. Tomita, E. Hata, K. Momose, S. Takayama, X. Liu, K. Chikama, J. Klepp, C. Pruner, and M. Fally, J. Mod. Opt. 63, S1 (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09500340.2016.1143534
- [2] J. Klepp, C. Pruner, Y. Tomita, K. Mitsube, P. Geltenbort, and M. Fally, Appl. Phys. Lett. **100**, 214104 (2012).

Proposal-number: 3-14-392 Instrument: PF2 VCN